



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,978	09/22/2006	Hayato Yoshino	1018773-000046	3260
21839 7590 01/10/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER DESAI, NAISHADH N	
			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/10/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
debra.hawkins@bipc.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/593,978

Applicant(s)

YOSHINO ET AL.

Examiner

Naishadh N. Desai

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/2006 and 8/3/2007.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 11/20/2006 and 08/03/2007 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landgraf (US 4322665).

3. As per independent claim 1:


A single-phase motor having (abstract):

a stator including a stator iron core formed by laminating a plurality of electromagnetic steel sheets (Col 3 ll 46-50) and provided with a slot and single-phase two-pole distributed windings composed of a main winding and an auxiliary winding contained in the slot (abstract and Col 3 ll 57-65); and

a rotor placed through a gap on an inner circumference of the stator (Col 3 ll 46-57), the single-phase motor comprising at least five notches each having a roughly straight lined shape on an outer circumference of the stator iron core (Fig 2), so that a quadrangle is formed by straight lines including four notches out of the at least five notches (Fig 2 shows that a quadrangle can be drawn if straight lines are drawn from the notches).

4. As per dependent claim 2:

The single-phase motor of claim 1, wherein the single-phase motor comprises six notches, so that a rectangle or a square is formed by straight lines including four notches out of the six notches (Fig 2, 1-6 below shows that several straight lines can be drawn on an outer circumference of the stator to form a rectangle or square out of 4 lines).



5. As per dependent claim 7:

A hermetic compressor comprising the single-phase motor of claim 1 (Col 5 line 20 and Col 6 ll 42-45).

6. As per independent claim 8:

A single-phase motor having (abstract):

a stator including a stator iron core formed by laminating a plurality of electromagnetic steel sheets and provided with a slot between stator teeth ((Col 3 ll 46-50 and Fig 2 above), and single-phase two-pole distributed windings composed of a main winding and an auxiliary winding contained in the slot (abstract and Col 3 ll 57-65); and a rotor placed through a gap on an inner circumference of the stator (Col 3 ll 46-57), the single-phase motor comprising a notch having an approximately same width as the stator teeth on an outer circumference of the stator iron core (Fig 2,5 above).

7. As per dependent claim 9:

A hermetic compressor comprising the single-phase motor of claim 8 (Col 5 line 20 and Col 6 ll 42-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383-U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landgraf (US 4322665) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 11125183 provided by applicant).

8. As per dependent claim 3:

The single-phase motor of claim 1, wherein the stator iron core is provided with a plurality of slots, among a plurality of slots, at an outer circumferential side of which a notch is not placed, at least one slot is made to have a deeper depth in a radial direction than a slot, at an outer circumferential side of which a notch is placed, so that a large slot and a small slot are formed.

Landgraf teaches the device as claimed above. Landgraf does not teach a stator core having varying slot depths. Noguchi et al clearly teaches a stator core having slots with different depths in the radial direction. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to use Noguchi et al's stator having varying slot depth in Landgren's device. The motivation to do so would be that it would allow one to form a stator slot with a specific shape regardless of its external

shape (abstract of Noguchi et al). It would also allow common use of a press winding line when wanting to manufacture a high output type sealed compressor by enlarging the shape of the stator steel plate without changing the shape of the stator's large or small slots (abstract of Noguchi et al).

9. As per dependent claim 4:

The single-phase motor of claim 3, wherein winding to be contained in the large slot has a higher cross section ratio for a slot area than winding to be contained in the small slot (abstract of Noguchi et al and it would be obvious that the cross sectional ratio of the winding in a small slot area would be smaller than the cross sectional ratio of a winding in a larger slot area).

10. As per dependent claim 5:

The single-phase motor of claim 3, wherein an outer winding of a concentric main winding is inserted in the large slot (abstract of Noguchi et al and Fig 3 of Landgraf discloses that the outer winding of a main winding is inserted concentrically into a large slot).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landgraf (US 4322665).

11. As per dependent claim 6:

The single-phase motor of claim 1, wherein, in case of inserting windings, the main winding is inserted after the auxiliary winding is inserted to the slot.

In regards to claim 6, the method of making limitations are not germane to the patentability of the apparatus and have not been given patentable weight. The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product by process claim is the same or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process". In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966(Fed. Cir. 1985). In this instance the case it is obvious to change the sequence of how the windings are inserted into the slots to ease manufacturing of the device.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for details.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naishadh N. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 270-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Naishadh N Desai
Patent Examiner

